

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,923	05/03/2005	Krishna Prasad Panje	IN 020005	6086
24737	7590 11/01/2006	•	. EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			LY, NGHI H	
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFI	01 FMANOR, NY 10510	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	2617	
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/533,923	PANJE, KRISHNA PRASAD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nghi H. Ly	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			Idress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oc	ctober 2006.					
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9 and 10</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	d				
occ the attached detailed office determined a field	or the continue copies not reserve	<b>u</b> .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/533,923

Art Unit: 2617

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7, 9 and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merriam (US 6,408,187) in view of Wu et al (US 2004/0192262A1).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Merriam teaches a method of presenting an information item on a mobile device (see column 1, lines 7-53), the method comprising the steps of: retrieving an identification of at least one of user gear and apparel (see column 1, lines 56 to column 2, lines 51, see "belt" and it reads on applicant's

Art Unit: 2617

"apparel"), the apparel providing the mobile device with information on the user's context or environment (see column 7, lines 54-61, see "environmental"), determining a mode reflecting at least one attribute of identified gear and apparel and optionally sending the mode with an identification of the mobile device to a service provider (see column 6, lines 32-45), and determining and presenting the information item dependent on the mode (see column 1, lines 56 to column 2, lines 51 and column 6, lines 32-45), receiving a first message from a caller sent to the mobile device (see column 6, lines 21-31, see "incoming communication", and column 6, lines 31-45, see "contact").

Merriam does not specifically disclose determining a second message dependent on the mode, when the first message is received and sending the second message to the caller as a response to the first message.

Wu teaches determining a second message dependent on the mode (see [0003], see "modes" and "message"), when the first message is received and sending the second message to the caller as a response to the first message (see [0003], see "modes", "message" and "caller").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Wu into the system of Merriam so that the caller can understand why a phone call is refused to answer and the user can save a telephone fee (see WU, Abstract).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Merriam and Wu further teaches the step of determining a second message comprises the steps of: sending the mode with an identification of the mobile device to a service provider (see Wu, [0003], see "modes"

Application/Control Number: 10/533,923

Art Unit: 2617

and "message"), and determining the second message based on the received mode and the identification of the mobile device on the service provider (see Wu, [0003], see "modes", "message" and "caller").

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Merriam further teaches modifying at least one attribute of gear and apparel (see column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 7).

Regarding claim 5, Merriam further teaches the mobile device is a personal digital assistant, palm top, cell phone or a mobile phone (column 1, lines 11-37, see "mobile phone").

Regarding claim 6, Merriam further teaches a computer program product comprising program code means stored on a computer readable medium for performing the method of any one of claims 1 through 5 when the computer program is run on a computer (see column 3, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Merriam and Wu further teaches determining a second message comprises: means for sending the mode with an identification of the mobile device to a service provider (see Wu, [0003], see "modes" and "message"), where the service provider determines the second message (see Wu, [0003], see "modes", "message" and "caller").

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-7911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nghi H. Ly